



## Beachwatch

# State of the beaches 2022–23

Mid-North Coast Region

© 2023 State of NSW and Department of Planning and Environment (DPE)

With the exception of photographs, the State of NSW and Department of Planning and Environment are pleased to allow this material to be reproduced in whole or in part for educational and non-commercial use, provided the meaning is unchanged and its source, publisher and authorship are acknowledged. Specific permission is required for the reproduction of photographs.

The Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) has compiled this report in good faith, exercising all due care and attention. No representation is made about the accuracy, completeness or suitability of the information in this publication for any particular purpose. DPE shall not be liable for any damage which may occur to any person or organisation taking action or not on the basis of this publication. Readers should seek appropriate advice when applying the information to their specific needs.

Every effort has been made to ensure that the information in this document is accurate at the time of publication. However, as appropriate, readers should obtain independent advice before making any decision based on this information.

All content in this publication is owned by DPE and is protected by Crown Copyright, unless credited otherwise. It is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0), subject to the exemptions contained in the licence. The legal code for the licence is available at Creative Commons.

DPE asserts the right to be attributed as author of the original material in the following manner: © State of New South Wales and Department of Planning and Environment 2023.

Front cover: North Beach (Cameron Board/DPE)

Published by:

Environment and Heritage

Department of Planning and Environment

Locked Bag 5022, Parramatta NSW 2124

Phone: +61 2 9995 5000 (switchboard)

Phone: 1300 361 967 (Environment, Energy and Science enquiries)

TTY users: phone 133 677, then ask for 1300 361 967

Speak and listen users: phone 1300 555 727, then ask for 1300 361 967

Email: [info@environment.nsw.gov.au](mailto:info@environment.nsw.gov.au)

Website: [www.environment.nsw.gov.au](http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au)

Report pollution and environmental incidents

Environment Line: 131 555 (NSW only)

or [info@environment.nsw.gov.au](mailto:info@environment.nsw.gov.au)

See also [www.environment.nsw.gov.au](http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au)

ISBN 978-1-923076-60-0

EHG 2023/0276

July 2023

# Contents

Mid-North Coast region summary 2022–2023	1
Monitoring water quality for swimming in New South Wales	1
Rainfall impacts	2
Bellingen Shire Council	5
Overall results	5
North Beach	10
Hungry Head Beach	11
Mylestom Baths	12
Urunga Lido	13
Dalhousie Creek	14
Lavenders Bridge	15
Arthur Keough Reserve	16
How to read this report	17
Beach Suitability Grades	17
Explanation of tables	21
Explanation of graphs, charts, and information bars on beach pages	21
References	26
More information	26

Recreational water quality has been monitored in the Mid-North Coast region since 2022 by Bellingen Shire Council under the Department of Planning and Environment's Beachwatch Partnership Program. This report summarises the performance of 7 sites on the Mid-North Coast of New South Wales, providing an assessment of how suitable a site is for swimming. Monitored sites include ocean beaches, estuarine areas in Bellingen River and Kalang River, a lagoon, and a freshwater site in Never Never River.

In 2022–2023, all Beach Suitability Grades are provisional with incomplete data available for the microbial assessment, the sanitary inspection or both. Further monitoring is required to obtain the necessary data to provide a definite classification in accordance with national guidelines.

This assessment provides an indication of sites with greater potential for swimming, and sites where water quality is more susceptible to faecal contamination. In general, freshwater and estuarine swimming sites do not perform as well as ocean beaches, due to lower levels of flushing increasing the time needed to disperse and dilute pollution inputs, taking longer to recover from stormwater events.

## Mid-North Coast region summary 2022–2023



Urunga Lido  
Photo: Beachwatch/DPE

### Monitoring water quality for swimming in New South Wales

The water quality of beaches and other swimming locations is monitored under the NSW Government’s Beachwatch programs to provide the community with accurate information on the cleanliness of the water and to enable individuals to make informed decisions about where and when to swim. Routine assessment also measures the impact of pollution sources, enables the effectiveness of stormwater and wastewater management practices to be assessed and highlights areas where further work is needed.

Swimming sites in New South Wales are graded as Very Good, Good, Fair, Poor or Very Poor in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council’s 2008 *Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Waters*. These Beach Suitability Grades provide a long-term assessment of how suitable a beach is for swimming. The grades are determined from the most recent 100 water quality results (2–4 years’ worth of data depending on the sampling frequency) and a risk assessment of potential pollution sources.

See the section on **Quality assurance** in the Statewide Summary for results of the quality assurance program.

Recreational water quality has been monitored in the Mid-North Coast region by Bellingen Shire Council since 2022.

A **quality assurance** program ensures the information collected and reported by Beachwatch and its partners is accurate and reliable.

The Beach Suitability Grades provided for monitored sites in Bellingen Shire are provisional and subject to change. **Provisional classifications** are provided where the data available for the microbial assessment, the sanitary inspection or both are incomplete. Further monitoring is required to obtain the necessary data to provide a definite classification in accordance with national guidelines.

## Rainfall impacts

During 2022–2023, 7 swimming sites were monitored including ocean beaches, estuarine areas in Bellingen River and Kalang River, and a freshwater site in Never Never River.

Rainfall is the major driver of pollution to recreational waters, generating stormwater runoff and triggering untreated discharges from the wastewater treatment and transport systems. Changes in rainfall patterns are reflected in beach water quality over time due to variation in the frequency and extent of stormwater and wastewater inputs.

The Beach Suitability Grades for 2022–2023 are based on water quality data collected since September 2022. Rainfall during 2022–2023 has been below average on the Mid-North Coast, with lengthy dry periods during November, and some isolated wet weather events. Drier than average conditions in spring continued in summer and autumn.

Despite the dry conditions, significant rainfall events occurred during October, including daily rainfall totals of 61 mm, 37 mm and 65 mm recorded at Coffs Harbour, Orama and Kalang respectively. Heavy rain fell in December with Coffs Harbour and Kalang recording daily rainfall totals of 32 mm and 29 mm respectively.

Consecutive days of rainfall fell in late January, late February, and March 2023, with high daily rainfall totals recorded during these times including up to 66 mm at Coffs Harbour, 54 mm at Orama and 63 mm at Kalang. Wet weather conditions returned at the end of April 2023 with rainfall recorded consistently at Coffs Harbour from 17–28 April 2023.

See the section on **How to read this report** on page 17 for an explanation of the graphs, tables and Beach Suitability Grades.

### Health risks






Contamination of recreational waters with faecal material from animal and human sources can pose significant health problems to beach users owing to the presence of pathogens (disease-causing microorganisms) in the faecal material. The most common groups of pathogens found in recreational waters are bacteria, protozoans and viruses.

Exposure to contaminated water can cause gastroenteritis, with symptoms including vomiting, diarrhoea, stomach-ache, nausea, headache and fever. Eye, ear, skin and upper respiratory tract infections can also be contracted when pathogens come into contact with small breaks and tears in the skin or ruptures of the delicate membranes in the ear or nose.

Certain groups of users may be more vulnerable to microbial infection than others. Children, the elderly, people with compromised immune systems, tourists,

and people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds are generally most at risk.

**Beach Suitability Grades for Mid-North Coast region**

Swimming site	Site type	Beach Suitability Grade	Change
<b>Bellingen Shire Council</b>			
Arthur Keough Reserve * (Never Never River)	Freshwater	 ^	-
Lavenders Bridge* (Bellingen River)	Estuarine	 ^	-
Dalhousie Creek*	Lagoon	Follow Up	-
Hungry Head Beach*	Ocean beach	 ^	-
Urunga Lido* (Kalang River)	Estuarine	 ^	-
Mylestom Baths* (Bellingen River)	Estuarine	Follow Up	-
North Beach*	Ocean beach	 ^	-

Beach Suitability Grade					Change		
							
Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Improved	Stable	Declined

\* New site

^ Provisional: Information required for the analysis is incomplete due to limited bacterial data or limited information on potential pollution sources in a beach catchment.

Follow Up: Sanitary inspection and water-quality data produce potentially incongruent results; further assessment will be required.



# Bellingen Shire Council

## Overall results



North Beach  
Photo: Beachwatch/DPE

One of the 7 sites was graded as Very Good or Good in 2022–2023. However grades for all monitored sites are provisional with incomplete data available for the microbial assessment, the sanitary inspection or both.

While further monitoring is required to obtain the necessary data to provide a definite classification, this assessment provides an indication of sites with greater potential for safe swimming, and sites where water quality is more susceptible to faecal contamination.

Monitoring of these sites commenced in September 2022.

### Percentage of sites graded as Very Good or Good

	2020– 2021	2021– 2022	2022– 2023	Trend
Ocean beaches (2 sites)	–	–	<b>50%</b>	–
Estuarine (3 sites)	–	–	<b>0%</b>	–
Lagoon (1 site)	–	–	<b>0%</b>	–
Freshwater (1 site)	–	–	<b>0%</b>	–


Seven sites were monitored by Bellingen Shire Council. Samples were collected weekly between November and April and sampling and laboratory analysis was fully funded by the council.

See the section on **How to read this report** on page 17 for an explanation of the graphs, tables and Beach Suitability Grades.

### Best beaches

North Beach.

This site had good water quality and was suitable for swimming most of the time.



Site types in Bellinghen Shire Council

Swimming sites monitored in the Bellinghen region include ocean beaches, estuarine areas in Bellinghen River and Kalang River, a lagoon, and a freshwater site in Never Never River, with each site type having a different response to rainfall-related impacts.

Estuarine, lagoon and freshwater swimming sites generally did not perform as well as ocean beaches, due to lower levels of flushing increasing the time needed to disperse and dilute pollution inputs, taking longer to recover from stormwater events.

As a general precaution swimming should be avoided during and for at least one day after heavy rain at ocean beaches, and for up to 3 days in lagoons, rivers and estuarine areas, or if there are signs of stormwater pollution such as discoloured water or floating debris.

### Ocean beaches

North Beach was graded as Good in 2022–2023. Water quality at this beach was suitable for swimming most of the time.

Hungry Head Beach was graded as Poor in 2022–2023. Microbial water quality was mostly suitable for swimming in dry weather conditions, with 82% of dry weather samples within the safe swimming limit. However water quality can be impacted from lagoon outflow when Dalhousie Creek mouth is open.



Beach Suitability Grades for Bellinghen Shire Council ocean beaches

The Beach Suitability Grades provided for monitored sites in Bellinghen Shire are provisional due to limited bacterial data. It is recommended that swimming should be avoided during and for up to one day after rainfall at ocean beaches or if there are signs of stormwater pollution such as discoloured water, flowing drains or outflow from creeks or lagoons, due to the possibility of pollution.

## Estuarine sites



**Beach Suitability Grades for Bellingen Shire Council estuarine sites**

Lavenders Bridge and Urunga Lido were graded as Poor in 2022–2023. These grades are provisional and subject to change as the assessment is based on limited bacterial data.

Water quality at Mylestom Baths was generally suitable for swimming in dry weather conditions, with 75% of dry weather samples within the safe swimming limit, but was impacted by stormwater pollution following rainfall. The sanitary inspection and water quality data for Mylestom Baths produce potentially incongruent results and follow up is required.

The sanitary inspection at Mylestom Baths indicates low risk, but microbial water quality assessment data indicate times of poor quality water, which suggests that there are sources of diffuse pollution that have not been identified. This swimming site is in a well flushed section of the estuary however the large catchment upstream has many potential sources of faecal contamination. In this case, specific studies demonstrating the relative levels of human and nonhuman contamination may be appropriate. Confirmation that contamination is primarily from nonhuman sources may allow reclassification to a more favourable level, but care will be needed because the risk will depend on the type of nonhuman pollution and because the nonhuman source may still be a source of important pathogens.

It is recommended that swimming at estuarine sites should be avoided during and for up to 3 days following rainfall, or if there are signs of pollution such as discoloured water or floating debris.

## Lagoon sites



**Beach Suitability Grades for Bellingen Shire Council lagoon site**

Dalhousie Creek is in a small lagoon with an intermittently closed/open entrance. The lagoon entrance was open 4 times during the assessment period: 23 January, 13 February, 27 March and 3 April 2023. The sanitary inspection and water quality data at Dalhousie Creek produce potentially incongruent results, and further assessment will be required.

Microbial water quality was often suitable for swimming during dry weather conditions, with 61% of dry weather samples within the safe swimming limit. Elevated bacterial levels were recorded following rainfall when the lagoon entrance was closed. Coastal lagoons can have

low levels of flushing, and so pollution inputs can accumulate at these sites when they are not open to the ocean, taking longer to recover from stormwater events.

The sanitary inspection at Dalhousie Creek indicates low risk, but microbial water quality assessment data indicate times of poor quality water, which suggests that there are sources of diffuse pollution that have not been identified. In this case, specific studies demonstrating the relative levels of human and nonhuman contamination may be appropriate. Confirmation that contamination is primarily from nonhuman sources may allow reclassification to a more favourable level, but care will be needed because the risk will depend on the type of nonhuman pollution and because the nonhuman source may still be a source of important pathogens.

It is recommended that swimming should be avoided during and for up to 3 days following rainfall, particularly if the lagoon entrance is closed, or if there are signs of pollution such as discoloured water or floating debris.

## Freshwater sites



**Beach Suitability Grades for Bellingen Shire Council freshwater site**

Arthur Keough Reserve was graded as Poor in 2022–2023. This grade is provisional and subject to change as data available for the microbial assessment is incomplete.

Microbial water quality was often elevated during dry weather conditions, and coincided with high visitation numbers, low flows and higher water temperatures.

Bellingen Shire Council is investigating the source of poor water quality, including testing 4 tributaries to the Never Never River to find sources of diffuse pollution that have not been identified. Further investigation will help address issues of concern and explore potential management solutions.

Information about Bellingen Shire Council's **Water Quality Management Plan** is available on council's website.

It is recommended that swimming should be avoided during and for up to 3 days following rainfall, or if there are signs of pollution such as discoloured water or floating debris.



Sampling sites and Beach Suitability Grades in Bellingen Shire Council

# North Beach

Beach grade:



North Beach is an open ocean beach, along a pristine stretch of coastline. The beach features powerful surf breaks and is patrolled during school holidays and weekends between October and April.

The Beach Suitability Grade of Good indicates microbial water quality is considered suitable for swimming most of the time, with few potential sources of faecal contamination.

Enterococci levels had little response to rainfall and mostly remained below the safe swimming limit across all rainfall categories.

See 'How to read this report' for key to map.


The site has been monitored since 2022.

Site type	Assessment period	Dry weather samples suitable for swimming	Water samples	Beach grade status
Ocean beach	Sep 2022 to Apr 2023	88%	27	Provisional


### Sanitary inspection: Low




### Microbial Assessment Category: B



### Dry and wet weather water quality



### Water quality in response to rainfall



# Hungry Head Beach

Beach grade: P<sup>A</sup>



Hungry Head Beach extends 2 km south from Hungry Head. The entrance to Dalhousie Creek is located at the northern end of the beach.

The Beach Suitability Grade of Poor indicates microbial water quality is susceptible to faecal pollution, particularly after rainfall, with potential contamination from Dalhousie Creek when the lagoon entrance is open.


Enterococci levels increased with increasing rainfall, occasionally exceeding the safe swimming limit after light rain.

The site has been monitored since 2022.


See 'How to read this report' for key to map.

Site type	Assessment period	Dry weather samples suitable for swimming	Water samples	Beach grade status
Ocean beach	Sep 2022 to Apr 2023	82%	27	Provisional


## Sanitary inspection: Moderate




## Microbial Assessment Category: C



## Dry and wet weather water quality



## Water quality in response to rainfall



# Mylestom Baths

**Beach grade:** Follow Up



See 'How to read this report' for key to map.

Mylestom Baths is a protected swimming area in the Bellingen River. The enclosed area offers a calm environment for leisurely swims, while the surrounding parklands provide space for picnics and relaxation.


The Beach Suitability Grade of Follow Up indicates sanitary inspection and water quality data produce potentially incongruent results, and further assessment will be required. The large catchment area upstream of the baths has many potential sources of faecal contamination.

Enterococci levels increased with increasing rainfall, regularly exceeding the safe swimming limit after light rain.


The site has been monitored since 2022.

Site type	Assessment period	Dry weather samples suitable for swimming	Water samples	Beach grade status
Estuarine	Sep 2022 to Apr 2023	75%	30	Provisional


### Sanitary inspection: Low




### Microbial Assessment Category: D



### Dry and wet weather water quality



### Water quality in response to rainfall





# Urunga Lido

Beach grade:



Urunga Lido is a sandy beach with calm waters located in the Kalang River Estuary. The beach is backed by a holiday park and features a recently upgraded boardwalk.

The Beach Suitability Grade of Poor indicates microbial water quality is susceptible to faecal pollution, particularly after rainfall, with potential contamination from Urunga Lagoon and river discharge.


Enterococci levels increased slightly with increasing rainfall, occasionally exceeding the safe swimming limit in dry weather conditions.

See 'How to read this report' for key to map.


The site has been monitored since 2022.

Site type	Assessment period	Dry weather samples suitable for swimming	Water samples	Beach grade status
Estuarine	Sep 2022 to Apr 2023	61%	28	Provisional


## Sanitary inspection: Moderate




## Microbial Assessment Category: C



## Dry and wet weather water quality




## Water quality in response to rainfall



# Dalhousie Creek

**Beach grade:** Follow Up



Dalhousie Creek is located in a small coastal lagoon with an intermittently closed/open entrance which lies just to the south of Hungry Head.


The Beach Suitability Grade of Follow Up indicates sanitary inspection and water quality data produce potentially incongruent results, and further assessment will be required.

Enterococci levels generally increased with increasing rainfall, occasionally exceeding the safe swimming limit in dry weather conditions.


See 'How to read this report' for key to map. The site has been monitored since 2022.

Site type	Assessment period	Dry weather samples suitable for swimming	Water samples	Beach grade status
Lagoon	Sep 2022 to Mar 2023	61%	28	Provisional


## Sanitary inspection: Low




## Microbial Assessment Category: D



## Dry and wet weather water quality



## Water quality in response to rainfall



# Lavenders Bridge

Beach grade: **P**<sup>A</sup>



Lavenders Bridge is a riverside beach in the Bellingen River in the centre of Bellingen.

The Beach Suitability Grade of Poor indicates microbial water quality is susceptible to faecal pollution, particularly after rainfall and occasionally during dry weather conditions, with potential faecal contamination from upstream sources in Bellingen River and bathers.


Enterococci levels increased with increasing rainfall, frequently exceeding the safe swimming limit in dry weather conditions and across all rainfall categories.

See 'How to read this report' for key to map.


The site has been monitored since 2022.

Site type	Assessment period	Dry weather samples suitable for swimming	Water samples	Beach grade status
Estuarine	Sep 2022 to Apr 2023	27%	29	Provisional


## Sanitary inspection: Moderate




## Microbial Assessment Category: D



## Dry and wet weather water quality



## Water quality in response to rainfall



# Arthur Keough Reserve

Beach grade: **P** <sup>^</sup>



See 'How to read this report' for key to map.

Arthur Keough Reserve is a riverside picnic spot offering space for recreation and relaxation along the Never Never River.


The Beach Suitability Grade of Poor indicates microbial water quality is susceptible to faecal pollution, particularly after rainfall and occasionally during dry weather conditions, with potential faecal contamination from bathers and onsite systems.

Enterococci levels increased with increasing rainfall, frequently exceeding the safe swimming limit in dry weather conditions and across all rainfall categories.


The site has been monitored since 2022.

Site type	Assessment period	Dry weather samples suitable for swimming	Water samples	Beach grade status
Freshwater	Sep 2022 to Apr 2023	19%	28	Provisional


## Sanitary inspection: Moderate




## Microbial Assessment Category: D



## Dry and wet weather water quality



## Water quality in response to rainfall



# How to read this report

## Beach Suitability Grades

Beach Suitability Grades provide an assessment of the suitability of a swimming location for recreation over time and are based on a combination of sanitary inspection (identification and rating of potential pollution sources at a beach) and microbial assessment (water quality measurements gathered over previous years). There are 5 grades ranging from Very Good to Very Poor:

### Very Good

Location has generally excellent microbial water quality and very few potential sources of faecal pollution. Water is considered suitable for swimming almost all of the time

### Good

Location has generally good microbial water quality and water is considered suitable for swimming most of the time. Swimming should be avoided during and for up to one day following heavy rain at ocean beaches and up to 3 days at estuarine sites

### Fair

Microbial water quality is generally suitable for swimming, but because of the presence of significant sources of faecal contamination, extra care should be taken to avoid swimming during and for up to 3 days following rainfall or if there are signs of pollution such as discoloured water or odour or debris in the water

### Poor

Location is susceptible to faecal pollution and microbial water quality is not always suitable for swimming. During dry weather conditions, ensure that the swimming location is free of signs of pollution, such as discoloured water, odour or debris in the water, and avoid swimming at all times during and for up to 3 days following rainfall

### Very Poor

Location is very susceptible to faecal pollution and microbial water quality may often be unsuitable for swimming. It is generally recommended to avoid swimming at these sites almost all of the time

Some of the Beach Suitability Grades in this report are **provisional**, as the information required for the analysis is incomplete due to limited bacterial data or limited information on potential pollution sources in a beach catchment.

### The guidelines

The National Health and Medical Research Council’s guidelines for managing risks in recreational water (NHMRC 2008) were adopted for use in New South Wales in May 2009. These guidelines have been adopted in all Australian states and territories and are supported by guidance notes developed by the Department of Health Western Australia (WA Department of Health 2007).

### Enterococci

**The national guidelines advocate the use of enterococci as the single preferred faecal indicator in recreational waters.**

These bacteria are excreted in faeces and are rarely present in unpolluted waters. Enterococci have shown a clear dose–response relationship to disease outcomes in marine waters in the northern hemisphere. In accordance with the guidelines, Beachwatch tests for enterococci only. The enterococci density in water samples is analysed in the laboratory using method AS/NZS 4276.9:2007 (Standards Australia 2007).

Enterococci are measured in colony forming units per 100 mL of sample (cfu/100 mL).

Beach Suitability Grades are determined by using the following matrix:

		Microbial Assessment Category			
		A	B	C	D
Sanitary Inspection Category	Very Low	Very Good	Very Good	Follow Up	Follow Up
	Low	Very Good	Good	Follow Up	Follow Up
	Moderate	Good	Good	Poor	Poor
	High	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
	Very High	Follow Up	Fair	Poor	Very Poor

\* Follow up occurs when sanitary inspection and water quality data produce potentially incongruent results; further assessment will be required.

Using the Beach Suitability Grade classification matrix, sites assigned a moderate Sanitary Inspection Category can only be rated as Good or Poor, with no option of Fair grades. This can create the impression of a large change in water quality when in fact there need only be a slight increase in bacterial counts to push it over the threshold, with no significant increase in the risk to public health.

## Microbial Assessment Category (MAC)

There are 4 Microbial Assessment Categories (A to D) and these are determined from the 95th percentile of an enterococci dataset of at least 100 data points. Each MAC is associated with a risk of illness determined from epidemiological studies. The risks of illness shown below are not those associated with a single data point but are the overall risk of illness associated with an enterococci dataset with that 95th percentile (Wyer et al. 1999).

### Risk of illness associated with Microbial Assessment Categories

Category	Enterococci (cfu/100 mL)	Illness risk*
A	≤40	GI illness risk: <1% AFR illness risk: <0.3%
B	41–200	GI illness risk: 1–5% AFR illness risk: 0.3–1.9%
C	201–500	GI illness risk: >5–10% AFR illness risk: >1.9–3.9%
D	>500	GI illness risk: >10% AFR illness risk: >3.9%

\* GI = gastrointestinal illness; AFR = acute fever and rash

### Calculating the MAC

The 95th percentile is a useful statistic for summarising the distribution of enterococci data at a site. It embodies elements of both the location of the distribution (how high/low the enterococci counts are) and the scale of the distribution (how variable the enterococci counts are).

The 95th percentile values for each of the 4 Microbial Assessment Categories were determined by the World

Health Organization using enterococci data collected from swimming locations across Europe. These values will represent different probabilities of illness if the distribution of enterococci data from swimming locations in New South Wales differs from the European distribution.

In recognition of this issue, Dr Richard Lugg (Department of Health, Western Australia) has developed a Microsoft® Excel tool for calculating a modified 95th percentile that takes into account the distribution of data. The WA Department of Health recommends a minimum of 65 samples, collected from a particular site over 5 consecutive years, to provide sufficient confidence and reliability in the 95th percentile data output. This tool has been used to calculate the 95th percentile values presented in this report and has been adopted for use by other state governments in Australia.

The tool can be downloaded from the WA Government's 'Environmental waters publications' webpage, under *Forms and templates*.

## Sanitary Inspection Category (SIC)

More information about the **sanitary inspection** process is available in the Beachwatch Protocol for assessment and management of microbial risks in recreational waters, found on the department's website.

The aim of a sanitary inspection is to identify all sources of faecal contamination that could affect a swimming location and assess the risk to public health posed by these sources. It is an assessment of the likelihood of bacterial contamination from identified pollution sources and should, to some degree, correlate with the bacterial water quality results obtained from sampling.

The main sources of faecal contamination considered in the sanitary inspection are: bathers, toilet facilities, wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), sewage overflows, sewer chokes, onsite systems, wastewater re-use, stormwater, river discharge, lagoons, boats and animals.

Rivers, lakes and estuaries themselves can be potential sources of faecal contamination to sites located in these waterbodies, with contaminated water from upstream or surrounding areas impacting water quality at the swimming location. This source is captured in river discharge or lagoon category, and shown as the waterbody in the sanitary inspection charts.

Through the sanitary inspection process, beaches are categorised to reflect the overall likelihood of faecal contamination. There are 5 categories: Very Low, Low, Moderate, High and Very High.





Stormwater drain flow  
Photo: Beachwatch/DPE

Stormwater in urban areas often contains sewage from leakages, overflows or sewer chokes when the sewerage system fails.

Sewage overflows can occur in wet weather when the network has exceeded capacity due to rainwater entering the system. The mix of sewage and rainwater discharges from designated overflow points and drains to waterways, usually via the stormwater system. Overflows from the sewerage system can also occur in dry weather due to mechanical failure or power outage.

Sewer chokes occur due to blockages in the pipes usually due to tree roots, oil, grease or debris. This causes sewage to back up and escape via sewer inspection points, designed overflow structures or cracks in the pipes, then drain to waterways, usually via the stormwater system.

## Explanation of tables

Each region contains tables listing all monitored swimming sites including site type, beach grade and change in grade from the previous year.

The following symbols are used to show the change in beach grade from the previous year:

- Stable
- ↑ Improved
- ↓ Declined


A provisional grade indicates the assessment is based on limited data collected during the assessment period and should not be compared to the beach grade from the previous year.

## Explanation of graphs, charts, and information bars on beach pages

### Microbial Assessment Category (MAC) chart


On each beach page, the MACs for the last 5 years are displayed on a simple bar chart. The MAC for the current year is based on enterococci data collected during the assessment period. The bars are labelled with the 95th

percentile value for each year and the thresholds dividing the A, B, C and D categories are marked in green, amber and red for reference.



### Sanitary Inspection Category (SIC) chart


The results of the sanitary inspection for each swimming location are presented in a radar pie chart. The chart shows the likelihood that each identified pollution source will contribute to faecal contamination at a swimming site, as indicated by the size and colour of the segment, ranging from very low (lightest colour) to very high (darkest colour) as shown below. The sum of these contributions is the overall likelihood, or Sanitary Inspection Category.



### Wet and dry weather water quality chart

Enterococci levels in wet and dry weather conditions are presented for each swimming location as a bar graph. All data collected during the assessment period is included in the analysis. Dry weather is defined as no rainfall recorded in the previous 24 hours. Each bar is colour coded to show the number of enterococci results up to 40 cfu/100 mL, between 41 and 200 cfu/100 mL, between


201 and 500 cfu/100 mL and greater than 500 cfu/100 mL. These categories reflect the Microbial Assessment Category thresholds and are coloured on the graph as dark green, light green, amber and red respectively.




It is expected that swimming sites with lower levels of flushing will show some elevated bacterial results in dry weather samples (no rainfall in the previous 24 hours) due to the longer time needed to recover from a rainfall event. At some estuarine and lake/lagoon swimming locations the impacts of stormwater pollution on beach water quality may be detected up to 3 days after rainfall.

### Water quality in response to rainfall

Trends in enterococci levels in response to rainfall are shown using a box plot. For reference, enterococci levels of 40 cfu/100 mL and 200 cfu/100 mL are indicated with a green and orange line, respectively. The 40 cfu/100 mL level is referred to as the ‘safe swimming limit’. The enterococci data were obtained from the last 5 years of monitoring. Rainfall data were obtained from rain gauges situated close to the sample site and are 24-hour totals to 9 am on the day of sampling. If there are fewer than 5 enterococci data points in a rainfall category, individual data points are presented instead of a box plot. At sites where many results are below the detection limit (1 cfu/100 mL), only the upper portion of the box plots will be visible.



Each part of the box plot represents a significant percentile value of the sample population:



## Information bars

Information bars on each beach page provide a summary of details about the swimming site.
















The **assessment period** shows the timeframe in which the water samples were collected. The NHMRC guidelines state beach grades should be determined from the most recent 100 water quality results collected within a 5-year period. The assessment period varies between sites depending on sampling frequency.

Dry weather samples suitable for swimming (**dry weather swimmability**) shows the percentage of water samples with enterococci levels below 40 cfu/100 mL. Dry weather is defined as no rainfall in the previous 24 hours.

Swimming sites with lower levels of flushing often have a lower percentage of dry weather samples within the safe swimming limit due to the impacts of rainfall detected up to 3 days after the event.

## Explanation of maps

A map of individual swimming locations is presented on each beach page. The scale of the maps is 1:10,000. Each map shows the location of the sampling site, land use and features such as surf lifesaving clubs. Potential pollution sources such as stormwater drains, sewage pumping stations, wastewater treatment plants, lagoons, rivers and creeks, are shown where accurate data is held.

Key to maps	
	Sampling Site
	Surf Life Saving Club
	Wastewater Treatment Plant
	Sewage Pumping Station
	Sewage Overflow
	Stormwater Drain
	Water
	Baths
	National Park/Reserve/ Other Park
	Built-up Area
	Sand
	Roads
	Major Roads
	Baths – Netted Area
	Breakwater/Wharf

## References

NHMRC (2008) *Guidelines for managing risks in recreational water*, National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, ACT.

Standards Australia (2007) *AS/NZS 4276.9:2007, Water microbiology Method 9: Enterococci – Membrane filtration method (ISO 7899-2:2000, MOD)*, Standards Australia International Ltd, Sydney and Standards New Zealand, Wellington.

WA Department of Health (2007), *Microbial quality of recreational water guidance notes in support of chapter 5 of the National Health and Medical Research Council guidelines for managing risks in recreational water, 2006*, Department of Health, Western Australia and The University of Western Australia, October 2007, [ww2.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/A\\_E/Environmental-waters-publications](http://ww2.health.wa.gov.au/Articles/A_E/Environmental-waters-publications), accessed 10/07/23.

Wyer MD, Kay D, Fleisher JM, Salmon RL, Jones F, Godfree AF, Jackson G and Rogers A (1999) 'An experimental health related classification for marine waters', *Water Research*, 33(3):715–722.

## More information

- [Beachwatch webpage](#)
- [Coastal management program progress](#)
- [Sanitary inspection of beaches](#)
- [Subscribe to daily pollution forecast emails](#)
- [WA Government environmental water publications](#)
- [Bellingen Shire Council's Water Quality Management Plan](#)