


SAVING OUR SPECIES

Manning River helmeted turtle: On the edge

Student workbook

Stage 6 Biology, Module 4: Ecosystem Dynamics



Acknowledgement of Country

The Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water acknowledges the Traditional Custodians of the lands where we work and live. We celebrate the diversity of Aboriginal peoples and their ongoing cultures and connections to the lands and waters of New South Wales.

We pay our respects to Elders past, present and emerging.

This resource may contain images, or names of deceased persons in photographs or historical content.



© 2024 State of NSW and Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

With the exception of photographs, the State of NSW and Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (the department) are pleased to allow this material to be reproduced in whole or in part for educational and non-commercial use, provided the meaning is unchanged and its source, publisher and authorship are acknowledged. Specific permission is required to reproduce photographs.

Learn more about our copyright and disclaimer at www.environment.nsw.gov.au/copyright

Cover photo: Manning River helmeted turtle (Gary Stephenson/DCCEEW)

Published by:

Environment and Heritage
Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
Locked Bag 5022, Parramatta NSW 2124
Phone: +61 2 9995 5000 (switchboard)
Phone: 1300 361 967 (Environment and Heritage enquiries)
TTY users: phone 133 677, then ask for 1300 361 967
Speak and listen users: phone 1300 555 727, then ask for 1300 361 967
Email info@environment.nsw.gov.au
Website www.environment.nsw.gov.au
EH2024/0051
ISBN 978-1-923132-91-7
February 2024

Contents

About this resource	1
Working Scientifically outcomes	2
Activities in the workbook	3
Module 1 Questioning and predicting	4
1.1 Determination of species and ecological communities as threatened	4
1.2 Influence of abiotic factors on the Manning River helmeted turtle	10
1.3 Influence of biotic factors on the Manning River helmeted turtle	12
1.4 Developing questions and hypotheses – Research	13
Module 2 Planning investigations	18
2.1 Does researching the Manning River helmeted turtle matter?	18
2.2 Site selection	20
2.3 Ethical considerations	24
2.4 Risk assessment	25
2.5 Examples of qualitative survey data	26
2.6 Examples of quantitative survey data	27
2.7 Fieldwork equipment	29
Module 3 Conducting investigations	33
3.1 Recording fieldwork	33
3.2 Recording qualitative data	36
3.3 Recording quantitative data	38
Module 4 Processing and analysing data and information	48
4.1 Interpreting qualitative data	49
4.2 Interpreting quantitative data	50
4.3 Experimental validity	54
4.4 Reproduction and population demographics	55
4.5 Threatening processes	56


Module 5: Problem solving	58
5.1 Conceptual models	58
Module 6: Communicating	63
6.1 Title	63
6.2 Abstract	63
6.3 Introduction	64
6.4 Method – planning and conducting investigations	64
6.5 Results – processing data and information	64
6.6 Results – analysing data and information	65
6.7 Discussion – problem solving and limitations	65
6.8 Conclusion	66
6.9 References	66
Glossary	67
References	68
Online resources	69
Other links in this document	71
Appendix A: <i>Gloucester Advocate</i> article	73


About this resource

This workbook is a resource to support senior students learning about how conservation agencies monitor and manage threatened species. By investigating the Manning River helmeted turtle, students will learn the status of the Manning River helmeted turtle population through their access to recent data obtained by researchers working across the upper reaches of the Manning River.

This resource is for teachers to use with senior students as part of their depth study. Each Working Scientifically outcome has been interpreted as part of a suite of learning experiences to explicitly model the Working Scientifically process with the option of extending into a depth study.

The **bolded text** throughout the workbook indicates an instruction or inquiry question. A **(G)** beside a specific term means it is explained in the glossary at the back of this workbook.

The  icon indicates the recommended student response.

The  icon indicates a video to watch and provide responses on.

Context

The inquiry question, ***‘What effect can one species have on other species?’*** guides students’ investigation of secondary evidence and gathering of primary data.

Each of the 7 Working Scientifically outcomes has been interpreted as part of a suite of learning experiences to explicitly model the Working Scientifically process with the option of extending into a depth study.

Each outcome represents one of the interdependent dynamic processes that are central to the study of science and the acquisition of scientific knowledge and skills.

The resource is focused on the threatened Manning River helmeted turtle (*Myuchelys purvisi*), a medium-sized, short-necked freshwater turtle found only in the middle and upper reaches of the Manning River catchment area.

The resource includes researchers’ qualitative and quantitative data and analysis from recent surveys of the Manning River helmeted turtle and suggested fieldwork for students.

Students investigate the status of the Manning River helmeted turtle population through their access to recent data obtained by researchers working across the upper reaches of the Manning River. The purpose of this research was to obtain reliable and valid data on the Manning River helmeted turtle’s abundance, distribution and age classes.

The researchers also took note of threats from changes to hydrology, water quality, introduced species, disease and hybridisation with other turtles. Continued research is crucial to planning actions to secure viable future populations of Manning River helmeted turtles.

Working Scientifically outcomes

Questioning and predicting

Secondary sources inspire questions and hypotheses about changes to the conditions impacting populations of Manning River helmeted turtles.

Planning investigations

Collection of data through fieldwork requires thorough planning of risk assessments, ethical issues, methods and equipment.

Conducting investigations

Fieldwork enables collection of primary qualitative and quantitative data using methods including photos and recording of habitat indicators.

Processing data and information

Selecting and processing qualitative and quantitative data about the habitat of the Manning River helmeted turtle.

Analysing data and information

Data analysis clarifies trends, patterns and relationships determined through a valid, accurate and reliable process.

Problem solving

Apply and evaluate models to make predictions and solve problems, in this instance problems relating to a poorly understood threatened species.

Communicating

Students create a scientific report for a specific audience or purpose; for example, a video, flyer, PowerPoint, oral presentation to inform residents of the issues and environmental impacts of threats such as human activities, a changing climate, increased predation and loss of habitat on a specific species or ecological community. They appropriately apply and use scientific language.

Students, just like scientists, learn by doing. The [NSW Department of Education environmental and zoo education centre \(EZEC\) network](#) can support teachers and students to conduct the fieldwork that supports the application of this study guide.

Activities in the workbook

1. Research threatened species legislation and classification
2. Identify preferred abiotic factors for a species
3. Research the influence of biotic factors on a species
4. Develop inquiry questions and hypotheses

Extension activity: NSW freshwater turtle ecology

5. Review a research program
6. Create a research location map
7. Prepare a scientific licence
8. Complete a sample risk assessment for fieldwork
9. Plan fieldwork equipment requirements

Extension activity: Fieldwork plan

10. Review fieldwork plan
11. Review collected data
12. Review site descriptions
13. Investigate the data

Extension activity: Fieldwork journal

14. Describe habitat quality at survey sites
15. Interpret and assess quantitative data
16. Evaluate experimental validity
17. Construct a conclusion
18. Research threats and threatening processes
19. Using a conceptual model
20. Prepare a report

Module 1 Questioning and predicting

BIO11/12-1 develops and evaluates questions and hypotheses for scientific investigation.

Developing, proposing and evaluating inquiry questions and hypotheses challenges students to identify an issue or phenomenon that can be investigated scientifically by gathering primary and/or secondary-sourced data. Students develop inquiry question(s) that require observations, experimentation and/or research to aid in constructing a reasonable and informed hypothesis. The consideration of variables is to be included in the questioning process (NESA 2017, p.22).

1.1 Determination of species and ecological communities as threatened

Activity 1 Research threatened species legislation and classification

The NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee is established under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*. It is an independent committee of scientists appointed by the Minister for the Environment. One of the main tasks of the committee is assessing the risk of extinction of a species in Australia and deciding which species should be listed as critically endangered, endangered, vulnerable or extinct in New South Wales. Almost 1,000 animal and plant species have been determined to be at risk of extinction in New South Wales.

1.1.1 **Use** the NSW Government website information about Species listing categories to complete Table 1 by listing and describing the categories given to threatened species and ecological communities (G) in New South Wales according to the level of threat they face.

Table 1 NSW threat categories



Threat category	Description
-----------------	-------------

--	--

--	--

1.1.2 **Use** the NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee website to list the functions of the committee in Table 2.

Table 2 Functions of the NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee



Functions

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

1.1.3 **Read** the Threatened Fauna of the Hunter and Mid Coast – Manning River helmeted turtle resource. **Create** a profile of the Manning River helmeted turtle using Table 3.

Table 3 Profile of the Manning River helmeted turtle



Attribute	Description
Scientific name	
Other common names	
Threatened species status	
Distribution	
Importance	
Habitat and biology	
Diet	
Threats	
Identification	

1.1.4 Up until 2020 the Manning River helmeted turtle was classified as a data-deficient species in the Saving our Species management structure.

What does data-deficient species mean?



Five horizontal lines for writing the answer to the question about data-deficient species.

1.1.5 Saving our Species is a state-wide program that aims to secure threatened plants and animals in the wild.

Watch the [Saving our Species YouTube video](#)

Read the [Saving our Species program overview](#).

Explain how the Saving Our Species program will benefit threatened plants and animals.



Five horizontal lines for writing the explanation of the Saving our Species program's benefits.

The plight of the Manning River helmeted turtle inspired the community to establish the Manning River Turtle Conservation Group in 2017. **Read** the article from the *Gloucester Advocate* reproduced in Appendix A.

1.1.6 **Discuss** what the community was asked to do to contribute to the success of saving the Manning River helmeted turtle.



1.1.7 **Read** about the Manning River Turtle Conservation Group. List 3 actions they have taken to help save the Manning River helmeted turtle.





Figure 1. Manning River helmeted turtle. (Gary Stephenson/DCCEEW)

1.2 Influence of abiotic factors on the Manning River helmeted turtle

Abiotic (G) factors provide essential non-living resources for species to exist. A species' distribution is a consequence of climate, topography and patterns of water movement over thousands of years.

Activity 2 Identify preferred abiotic factors for a species

- 1.2.1 **Watch** the video [Manning River Turtle 2017](#) by Wild Aquarium and reptile specialists. **Revisit** the [Threatened Fauna of the Hunter and Mid Coast - Manning River helmeted turtle resource](#) and [Manning River helmeted turtle endangered species listing](#). **Identify** the abiotic (G) factors preferred and/or optimal conditions for the Manning River helmeted turtle. **Complete** Table 4.



Figure 2. Screenshot of YouTube video [Manning River turtle 2017](#) by Wild Aquarium and Reptile Specialists



1.2.2 **Tick** the correct boxes in Table 4.



Table 4 Preferred abiotic factors and optimal conditions for the Manning River helmeted turtle

Abiotic factor	Which does the Manning River helmeted turtle prefer?			
Soils for nesting	Gravel and sandy loams	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sandy clay	<input type="checkbox"/>
Topography	Permanent headwaters with a variety of riffles, pools and adjoining alluvial flats	<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted narrow stream beds dominated by rock and boulders	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water clarity	Turbid (suspended matter in the water reducing clarity)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clear	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water temperature	Warm	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cold	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water flows	Deep, still and slow-flowing water	<input type="checkbox"/>	Patchy disrupted flow patterns prone to drying up	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rainfall	Distributed throughout the year	<input type="checkbox"/>	Distinct wet and dry season	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sunlight	Direct sunlight	<input type="checkbox"/>	Deep shade	<input type="checkbox"/>
Flooding	Flooding keeps banks and beaches clear of vegetation, providing nesting sites	<input type="checkbox"/>	Areas that are well protected from floods	<input type="checkbox"/>
Oxygen	Well oxygenated water for respiration	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stagnant pools with low levels of oxygen	<input type="checkbox"/>
Elevation (G)	100–600 m above sea level	<input type="checkbox"/>	0–100 m above sea level	<input type="checkbox"/>

1.3 Influence of biotic factors on the Manning River helmeted turtle

Biotic (G) factors are all the living organisms within an ecosystem and the different interactions between the same species and between different species. These interactions include predation, competition and symbiotic (G) relationships.

Activity 3 Research the influence of biotic factors on a species

Use the [Threatened Fauna of the Hunter and Mid Coast – Manning River helmeted turtle](#) resource and online sources such as the [Manning River helmeted turtle scientific listing](#) if necessary, to answer the following questions.

- 1.3.1 **Describe** the impact of predation by foxes on Manning River helmeted turtle populations.



- 1.3.2 Why might **hybridisation** (G) with the Murray River turtle (*Emydura macquarii*) be a threat to the Manning River helmeted turtle?



- 1.3.3 Disease is listed as a potential threat to the Manning River helmeted turtle.

Read [this article](#) about disease in a closely related turtle species (Bellinger River snapping turtle) and describe the impacts it had on the population.



- 1.3.4 **List** other biotic (G) factors that have an effect on Manning River helmeted turtle populations.



1.4 Developing questions and hypotheses – Research

In 2019, the NSW Government commissioned a series of studies to find out more about the Manning River helmeted turtle. The 3 studies were for the northern and western catchments and the middle reaches of the Manning River (Chessman 2019; Redleaf Environmental 2019; Spark 2019).

Activity 4 Develop inquiry questions and hypotheses

- 1.4.1 **Read** the NSW Government aims for researchers contracted to collect primary evidence about the population dynamics of the Manning River helmeted turtle as shown in Figure 3.

Project aims, Manning River helmeted turtle survey project (Redleaf Environmental, 2019 p.2)

The aim of this project is to assist the recovery of the Manning River Helmeted Turtle (*Myuchelys purvisi*), a species endemic to the Manning River system and listed as endangered under the NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*. It has been assigned to the Data-deficient stream of the Saving our Species program that is administered by the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage. The specific aims are:

- Build a better understanding of the distribution of [*M. purvisi*] in the Manning valley
- Build a better understanding of the distribution of *Emydura macquarii* in the Manning valley
- Understand the extent, **prevalence** and severity of threat to [*M. purvisi*]
- Provide the basis for the development of site-based management activities
- Contribution to movement of this species from the data-deficient management stream.

These objectives form part of a larger program to manage [*M. purvisi*]. Data collected as part of this scope will be used to inform a change in management streams and subsequent management and monitoring actions.

To help achieve these aims, the project aimed to undertake trapping and hand capture of *M. purvisi* at numerous locations to determine the extent of its distribution, its population **demographics** and health and the threats to the species, in particular, the distribution and abundance of, and possible hybridisation with, the Macquarie turtle, [*E. macquarii*], which is believed to have been introduced to the Manning River system. The results of these surveys will help to identify specific locations where targeted programs will be implemented to further assist the recovery of the *M. purvisi*. The surveys will provide the basis of an ongoing monitoring program where capture effort at selected locations will be replicated in subsequent years.

Figure 3. Project aims, Manning River helmeted turtle 2019 autumn survey project – Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.2

Based on these aims **develop** an inquiry question to guide researchers' primary data collection about population dynamics of the Manning River helmeted turtle.



Two horizontal lines for writing an inquiry question.

1.4.2 **Create** a reasonable and informed hypothesis to collect **quantitative** data to address the inquiry question.



Eight horizontal lines for writing a hypothesis.

Extension activity: NSW freshwater turtle ecology

Some students may be required to plan and undertake fieldwork related to this investigation. Heading into the upper reaches of the Manning River in search of Manning River helmeted turtles is neither practical nor permitted.

As an alternative set of extension activities students might choose a first-hand inquiry to assess the suitability for turtles of a freshwater habitat in their local area.

1.4.3 **Complete** Table 5 to describe the 6 other freshwater turtle species that occur in New South Wales.

Table 5 Other freshwater turtle species found in New South Wales



Species	Physical description	Distribution	Habitat / diet	Threats
---------	----------------------	--------------	----------------	---------



Species	Physical description	Distribution	Habitat / diet	Threats

--	--	--	--	--

1.4.4 Which turtles from Table 5 might occur in your local area? Select a local freshwater habitat and assess its suitability against a turtle that's likely to be found in your area.



A large, light green rectangular area containing 15 horizontal lines for writing.

Module 2 Planning investigations

BIO11/12-2 designs and evaluates investigations to obtain primary and secondary data and information.

Students justify the selection of equipment, resources chosen and design of an investigation. They ensure that all risks are assessed, appropriate materials and technologies sourced, and all ethical concerns are considered. Variables are to be identified as independent, dependent and controlled to ensure a valid procedure is developed that will allow for the reliable collection of data. Investigations should include strategies that ensure controlled variables are kept constant and an experimental control is used as appropriate (NESA 2017, p.23).

2.1 Does researching the Manning River helmeted turtle matter?

Activity 5 Review a research program

2.1.1 **Watch** the video [Searching for the Manning River Turtle](#) with Dr Bruce Chapman, NSW Office of Environment and Heritage 2013 (2:18 min.)



Figure 4. Screenshot of YouTube video [Searching for the Manning River Turtle](#)

2.1.2 **Describe** the purpose of Dr Chessman’s research.



2.1.3 **List** the different variables demonstrated or most probable in Dr Chessman’s research using Table 6.

Table 6 Manning River helmeted turtle research variables



Type of variable	Examples
------------------	----------

Dependent variable/s
What was being measured?

Independent variable/s
What was being changed?

Control
What was being kept the same?

2.1.4 **Describe** the concern Dr Chessman has about the data collected and explain the reason for his concern.



2.2 Site selection

The 2019 survey contracted by the Office of Environment and Heritage (now the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water) required researchers to investigate populations of Manning River helmeted turtles in the upper reaches and more inaccessible locations of the Manning River catchment.

Sites selected needed to be suitable for trapping with deep, still or slow-flowing water to provide essential daytime habitat for the Manning River helmeted turtle (see Figure 5).




Figure 5. Screenshot of Google My Maps showing a potential Manning River helmeted turtle habitat sampling site

Activity 6 Create a research location map

- 2.2.1 Use the following instructions to **create** a location map of the upper reaches of the Manning River catchment using Google My Maps.
1. Sign in to [Google My Maps](#).
 2. Click 'CREATE A NEW MAP'.
 3. In the search box type either Manning River or another of the Manning River catchment rivers; for example, Mummel River, Coplacurripa River, Rowleys River or Gloucester River (Figure 6).




Figure 6. Screenshot of Google My Maps with one of a number of possible Manning River catchment rivers in the search box

4. **Select** 'Base Map' (Figure 7).




Figure 7. Screenshot of Google My Maps with 'Base map' selected

5. **Change** the style to terrain and **increase** the scale of the map until you can see details including contour lines, river names, creeks, access roads, property names, conservation (Figure 8).




Figure 8. Screenshot of Google My Maps with 'Terrain' selected, and scale increased

6. Go to the top left and click 'Untitled map'.
7. Give your map a name and description and save (Figure 9).




Figure 9. Screenshot of Google My Maps with map title and description

8. **Choose** a sampling site with deep, still or slow-flowing water.
9. To mark your sampling site use the 'Add marker' tool below the search box.
10. Give your sampling site a name (Figure 10). Add a description of the site such as access, landowner, land-use; for example, agriculture or conservation area. Add a relevant photo.




Figure 10. Screenshot of Google My Maps with marker, name and description of site

11. Click save.
12. Click on the 'Share' tab and set the map sharing rules to 'Anyone with this link can view' (Figure 11).




Figure 11. Screenshot of Google My Maps 'Share map' rules and link for copying

13. Share the link to your map with your teacher by copying the link and sending it to them in an email.

2.3 Ethical considerations

Researchers conducting fieldwork to collect primary data are required to follow a code of conduct to ensure minimum damage to the environment.

Researching animals and plants requires scientific licence/s and the approval of an ethics committee.

Activity 7 Prepare a scientific licence

2.3.1 Read the [NSW Government requirements for a scientific licence](#).

What type of scientific licence do you think you will need? Why?



2.3.2 The [Animal Ethics wildlife research guidelines](#) provides guidance for investigators carrying out wildlife surveys. **Use** Section 3 'General ethical considerations and wildlife surveys'.

Identify [strategies for minimising harm](#) to Manning River helmeted turtles during fieldwork.



2.3.3 Sharing the results of wildlife research with the local community can enhance community involvement in conservation and produce better outcomes for threatened species.

Outline one strategy for sharing research reports with the community.



2.4 Risk assessment

A risk assessment identifies hazards that have the potential to cause harm and ways to remove such hazards or control them when the risk cannot be removed.

Activity 8 Complete a sample risk assessment for fieldwork

- 2.4.1 Use Table 7 to **complete** a sample risk assessment for fieldwork to investigate the Manning River helmeted turtle.

Table 7 Sample risk assessment

Activity: Fieldwork to collect primary data		Location: Manning River
Hazard /risk areas	Risk identification	Elimination or control measures
People Special needs	Risk of: Pre-existing medical condition resulting in serious medical emergency, e.g. asthma	Teachers and students maintain school and /or personal medical action plans
Equipment Resources that may impact, e.g. footwear	Risk of: Accident with feet being cut	Students wear protective footwear
Environment Factors that impact on the activity, e.g. sunburn	Risk of: Being bitten by mosquitoes	Students bring insect repellent Wear long sleeves and long pants



Activity: Fieldwork to collect primary data		Location: <input type="text"/>
Hazard /risk areas	Risk identification	Elimination or control measures
People Special needs		
Equipment Resources that may impact, e.g. footwear		
Environment Factors that impact on the activity, e.g. sunburn		

2.5 Examples of qualitative survey data

Qualitative data is data that is not easily reduced to numbers. One of the advantages of qualitative data collection is that it can usually be recorded in a short timeframe.

Qualitative data tends to answer questions about ‘what’ (e.g. what does a species look like?), ‘how’ (e.g. how does soil type on riverbanks and beaches impact turtle nesting sites?) and ‘why’ (e.g. why does the abundance of Manning River helmeted turtles vary between sites?).

Qualitative data is used in ecological research to give a descriptive snapshot of the context of a site, species descriptions, adaptations and the niche (G) of species as well as abiotic (G) factors. Qualitative data collected for the Manning River helmeted turtle survey includes site descriptions, photos and habitat indicators.

Site descriptions

These are a record of the name of the river/creek, GPS coordinates, property owners, water flow, width of the waterway, depth of pools, presence of rocks, boulders, logs and benthic (G) vegetation, as well as a sketch of the site, including a North arrow, with as many of the site features labelled as possible.

Photographs

Take photos from a vantage point that frames as much of the study site as possible. Record your photos’ date, site, direction, content, etc. for accurate labelling.

Habitat indicators

Indicators relevant to the habitat needs of Manning River helmeted turtles include:

- physical modifications to rivers and creeks such as access roads, causeways and dams
- changes in inundation (G) level, which should be noted for comparison with other records over time to understand the patterns of water flows
- soil erosion by water and wind – exposed gravel sandy loam banks and beach shorelines along river edges are ideal nesting sites
- evidence of disturbance by livestock, feral animals and native animals (e.g. hoofprints, scats, grazing) – they are a threat to Manning River helmeted turtles especially in riparian (G) zones, causing soil compaction and erosion
- land-use types in all directions, as well as those you can’t see but know exist in the fringing zone, including houses, agriculture and conservation areas
- high levels of turbidity (G) caused by suspended sediment in the water, which are likely to interfere with the respiration and foraging of the Manning River helmeted turtle. This is an area requiring further research
- algal blooms, which are caused by excess nutrients in waterways from fertiliser and animal waste (called eutrophication (G) of the water). Algal blooms may reduce light levels, impacting the growth of macrophytes (G). They can also deplete levels of dissolved oxygen in the water
- the extent of vegetation cover on riverbanks and beaches – excessive vegetation cover can reduce access to exposed gravel sandy loam sites suitable for turtles’ nesting requirements

- natural cycles of flooding and drought, as well as human impacts – these factors can influence quantitative measures of water quality. Four water quality parameters easily measured are temperature, turbidity (G), pH and salinity.

2.6 Examples of quantitative survey data

Quantitative data is a set of numerical values that arise from a measuring process.

Any data on which you can perform calculations such as means, differences or totals is quantitative data.

Using quantitative data in ecological research allows us to understand wider trends in our species of interest, such as how the population is changing over time.

Quantitative data collected for the Manning River helmeted turtle survey includes:

- the number of animals captured
- the weight of each individual animal
- the length of each turtle's carapace and plastron
- environmental variables such as water temperature, pH and salinity.

Survey methods – collecting valid and reliable data

Reliability and validity are concepts used to evaluate the quality of research. They indicate how well a method, technique or test measures something.

Reliability is about the consistency of a measure. A measurement is reliable if you repeat it and get the same measurement each time. An experiment is reliable if repeating it you get the same result for the entire experiment.

Validity is about the accuracy of the measure and how relevant the experiment is in addressing the purpose of the experiment; that is, is it testing what it's meant to test? It is influenced by the equipment, the experimental method, and the analysis of the results.

It is important to **check the relationship between the independent and dependent variables** and ensure all other variables (the controls) are kept the same where possible.

Survey methods and techniques used

The 3 researchers undertaking surveys of Manning River helmeted turtles during 2019 could not implement a systematic survey method. This was due to the variability of sites and because the search for Manning River helmeted turtles required the use of different techniques across sites.

For example, sites with deep holes were suited to cathedral traps (Figure 12), while more shallow areas were only suited to fyke nets (Figure 13). One of the researchers also used crab nets, while another researcher also caught turtles by snorkelling (Chessman 2019; Redleaf Environmental 2019; Spark 2019).



Figure 12. Cathedral trap used for surveys.
(Photo: Darren Fielder)



Figure 13. Fyke nets set in Tuggolo Creek.
(Photo: Phil Spark)

Survey methods included:

- traps set with bases on the stream bed and with floating tops, so turtles could still breathe air
- surveys carried out in late spring, early summer and early autumn
- traps baited with sheep liver, heart and sardines
- nets cleared at regular intervals
- exact locations of all nets recorded with GPS
- animals captured, processed and released at the pool of capture
- swabbing turtles around the eye and keeping samples in vials under refrigeration
- during processing, palpating female turtles to check for eggs
- measuring turtles' carapace and plastron (chest shell) lengths using callipers
- weighing animals on electronic scales
- marking animals with notching or drilling
- holding captured turtles in covered bins out of the sun for no more than 6 hours
- recording physical abnormalities.

Note: Identification of mature females was difficult.

2.7 Fieldwork equipment

Equipment for fieldwork includes gear to enable:

- personal safety
- making observations
- taking measurements
- recording data.

The use of electronic technologies is expected when it is practical and/or improves the safety and quality of fieldwork.

Activity 9 Plan fieldwork equipment requirements

2.7.1 In Table 8, **evaluate** the pros and cons of technology that you could use to support your identification and recording of fieldwork data.

Examples include:

- [TurtleSAT](#) – a freshwater citizen science project to map turtles in your local area
- [Atlas of Living Australia](#)
- [iNaturalist](#)
- a smartphone camera.



Table 8 Pros and cons of using different apps for fieldwork

Technology app for fieldwork	Pros	Cons
TurtleSat		
Atlas of Living Australia		
iNaturalist		
Smartphone camera		

2.7.2 Based on the pros and cons in Table 8 **choose** a fieldwork app that you would use to support your identification and recording of fieldwork data. Briefly explain the reason for your choice.



2.7.3 **Use** the information in Sections 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 to **list** in Table 9 the equipment needed for the Manning River helmeted turtle fieldwork surveys (add extra rows if required).

Table 9 Fieldwork equipment



Fieldwork equipment requirement				
Ensuring personal safety	Making observations	Taking measurements	Recording data	Recording data Ensuring safety of the turtles

Extension activity: Fieldwork plan

If you have chosen to undertake an assessment of a river and/or creek in your area to determine its suitability as a freshwater turtle habitat, use this scaffold to help plan your fieldwork:

- Title
- Background information: include species and common name
- Purpose of the investigation
- Time of year
- Location map
- Research ethics
- Risk assessment
- Site selection
- Photographs
- Indicators relevant to the habitat needs of the turtle being investigated
- Survey methods
- Fieldwork equipment
- Use of app/s
- Links with existing relevant citizen science projects
- Resources and/or people assisting your work.



A large light green rectangular area containing 15 horizontal lines for writing a fieldwork plan.

Module 3 Conducting investigations

BI011/12-3 conducts investigations to collect valid and reliable primary and secondary data and information.

Students are to select appropriate equipment, employ safe work practices and ensure that risk assessments are conducted and followed. Appropriate technologies are to be used and procedures followed when disposing of waste. The selection and criteria for collecting valid and reliable data is to be methodical and, where appropriate, secondary sourced information referenced correctly (NESA 2017, p.23).

3.1 Recording fieldwork

Activity 10 Review fieldwork plan

3.1.1 **Use** the excerpt in Section 1.4, Figure 3, taken from the Manning River helmeted turtle 2019 autumn survey report (Redleaf Environmental 2019), to **describe** the objectives of the survey.



Activity 11 Review collected data

3.1.2 What do Table 10 and Figure 14 (following pages) illustrate about the study design?
Discuss.



Table 10 Sites surveyed in 2018–19 (Chessman 2019, p.11)

Site no	Location	Elevation (m)	Mean trap latitude (GDA94)	Mean trap longitude (GDA94)	Dates trapped	Trap hours (cathedral nets)	Trap hours (fyke nets)	Trap hours total
1	Barnard River, Bretti	109	-31.7838	151.9107	29 Mar 2019	86	0	86
2	Barnard River, Kauthi South	111	-31.7779	151.9044	6 Apr 2019	60	0	60
3	Barrington River, Rocky Crossing	134	-32.0375	151.8707	17 Apr and 3 Dec 2018	111	0	111
4	Bobin Creek, Bobin Creek Road	139	-31.6860	152.2682	23–24 Nov 2018	72	0	72
5	Dingo Creek, Robinsons Road	58	-31.7921	152.3300	22–23 Nov 2018	191	24	215
6	Gloucester River, Doon Ayr	57	-31.8921	152.0939	19 Apr 2018 and 2–3 Dec 2018	204	11	215
7	Gloucester River, Faulkland Road	139	-32.0610	151.8800	18 Apr 2018 and 8 Apr 2019	124	0	124
8	Gloucester River, Wirradgurie	64	-31.9092	152.0605	4–5 Dec 2018	160	0	160
9	Manning River, Archinals	31	-31.9160	152.2157	18–19 Nov 2018	31	23	54
10	Manning River, Charity Creek	27	-31.9007	152.2390	19–20 Nov 2018	87	0	87
11	Manning River, Cundle Flat	88	-31.8087	151.9821	3 Apr 2019	30	0	30
12	Manning River, Deadbird	208	-31.8355	151.8142	27–28 Mar 2019	77	0	77
13	Manning River, Dewitt	240	-31.8114	151.7980	25–27 Mar 2019	261	48	309
14	Manning River, Karaak Flat Site 1	23	-31.9301	152.2933	21 Nov 2018	18	0	18
15	Manning River, Karaak Flat Site 2	23	-31.9240	152.2968	24 Nov 2018	32	0	32
16	Manning River, Kimbriki	25	-31.9338	152.2856	20–21 Nov 2018 1 and 5 Apr 2019	297	0	297
17	Manning River, Tigrah Road	96	-31.7923	151.9370	28 Mar and 7 Apr 2019	87	0	87
18	Manning River, Tiri Road	47	-31.8378	152.0927	4 Apr 2019	61	0	61
19	Nowendoc River, Rotating House	70	-31.8061	152.0551	2–3 Apr 2019	222	0	222




Figure 14. Map showing sites sampled in the 2018-19 survey of the Manning River helmeted turtle – reproduced from Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.4

3.1.3 **Review** the 'Materials and Methods' section of the Survey and monitoring report on turtle trapping in 2018-19 (Chessman 2019) and explain why surveys were conducted in autumn.



3.2 Recording qualitative data

Qualitative data captures first-hand observations, is quite often descriptive and generally non-numerical. Table 11 contains the qualitative data from the Manning River helmeted turtle autumn survey.

Activity 12 Review site descriptions

3.2.1 **Review** the site descriptions in Table 11. Has all the information Section 2.5 above suggests be included in a site description been captured? How could these site descriptions be improved?



Table 11 Examples of site descriptions (Redleaf Environmental 2019)

Sites	Photographs of sites
<p>Cooplacurripa River, Top Station</p> <p>Mostly shallow with several small pools to 1.5 m. A shaded stream with riparian (G) vegetation present. Evidence of stock access through bank trampling. Stream had a small flow through it with high turbidity (G) preventing snorkelling as capture method.</p>	
<p>Mummel River, Cooplacurripa Station</p> <p>Trapping site with several deeper pools to 1.8 m. Water clarity was good with intact riparian zone vegetation.</p>	
<p>Rowleys River, Strike-A-Light at its northern boundary</p> <p>Near pristine river reach with large deep waterholes. Water clarity was excellent here. Caught 16 <i>M. purvisi</i> in this stretch. Two pairs were observed mating underwater at a depth of about 2 m, and subsequently caught (in the act) by the snorkellers.</p>	
<p>Bobin Creek, Les McKensie place</p> <p>Pristine stream environment except for infestation of small and large leaved privet in riparian vegetation. Crystal clear water (cold ~15°C) with leaf litter areas covering the creek bed providing habitat for <i>M. purvisi</i>. Mostly long shallow stream reaches interspersed with small deeper waterholes (to about 1.5 m). Three large waterholes to 3.5 m occur 1 km from each other.</p>	
<p>Dingo Creek, Dingo Bend</p> <p>Thin riparian strip along each side of the banks. Adjacent land use is improved pasture paddocks and cattle grazing. There wasn't a waterhole deeper than 1.5 m. Mostly wide shallow stretches. The water clarity was moderate. Very cold water (~15°C).</p>	

3.3 Recording quantitative data

Quantitative data captures measurements that can be counted and are usually numerical.

Table 12, Table 13, Table 14 and Figure 15 are examples of quantitative data from the Manning River helmeted turtle 2019 autumn survey report (Redleaf Environmental 2019).

Table 12 Survey effort and capture results 2018–19 (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.9)

Site no	Year	Survey effort (hours)			Turtles		
		Cathedral nets	Fyke nets	Snorkel	<i>M. purvisi</i>	<i>C. longicollis</i>	<i>E. macquarii</i>
1	2018	120		1			
2	2018	100	12.5	1	1		
3	2018	144	18	2	1	11	
4	2018	128		2.5	11		
5	2018	112	24	2	4	1	
6	2018	152	19	1	2	9	
7	2018	128		1		1	1
8	2018	116	14.5	1	1		
9	2018	120					
10	2018			2	1		
11	2019	108.5	17		1		
12	2019	104	15		1		
13	2019			1.5		1	
14	2019			1.5	1		
15	2019			4	2	2	
16	2019	120	17		1	4	
17	2019			3	5	10	
18	2019			4		1	
19	2019	56	48	2			
20	2019			2	1		
21	2019			2	2		
22	2019			2	4		
23	2019			4	16		
24	2019			5	1		
25	2019		52		1		
26	2019			2			
27	2019			4			
28	2019			4			
29	2019	104			3	1	
30	2019			4	1	1	
Totals							

Table 13 Capture results by tributary (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.10)

Tributary	<i>M. purvisi</i>	<i>C. longicollis</i>	<i>E. macquarii</i>
Bobin Creek	2		
Dingo Creek			
Cooplacurripa River	10	35	
Mummel River	19	4	
Nowendoc River	2	1	1
Rowleys River	28	8	
Totals			

Table 14 Age class and sex of *M. purvisi* (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.10)

Species	Mature female	Mature male	Immature female	Immature male	Unsexed	% immature	F/M ratio
<i>M. purvisi</i>	28	15	6	2	10	29.5%	




Figure 15. Population demographics for the combined survey sites for female, male and unsexed individuals (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.11)

Table 15 Survey effort and capture results including incidental recordings (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.10)

Method	<i>M. purvisi</i>	<i>C. longicollis</i>	<i>E. macquarii</i>	Effort (hours)	Total	Capture rate
Cathedral trap	7	12	1	1,612	20	0.012
Fyke net	3	4		237	7	0.029
Snorkelling	51	31		58	82	1.41
Hand capture		1			1	
Totals	61	48	1		110	

Survey summary

The survey captured turtles across most size classes. There were 6 immature females, 2 immature males and 10 unsexed immature turtles caught. There were 28 adult females and 15 adult males captured.

The largest female was 22.47 cm straight carapace with a mass of 1,554 g. The largest male caught was 17.18 cm long with a mass of 616 g. The smallest immature turtle caught was 8.07 cm long and had a mass of 71 g.

Activity 13 Investigate the data

3.3.1 Use Tables 12–14 to complete Table 16.

Table 16 Total number of each species of turtle captured (student response)

Species	Number captured
<i>M. purvisi</i>	
<i>C. longicollis</i>	
<i>E. macquarii</i>	

3.3.2 **Calculate** the number of sites at which *M. purvisi* were captured.



3.3.3 **Identify** the site (1–30) where *M. purvisi* was most abundant and the number of *M. purvisi* captured?



3.3.4 Which sex had the largest carapace?



3.3.5 **Identify** which method of capturing turtles was most successful (Table 15).



Extension activity: Fieldwork journal

You are undertaking an assessment of waterways in your area to determine their suitability as a freshwater habitat for *M. purvisi*.

Review Sections 1.2 and 1.3 in Module 1 about key criteria for assessing habitat suitability.

Use the following questions to help prepare a fieldwork journal. Conduct your own research.

Safe work practices and protecting the natural environment

3.3.6 **Describe** one action to avoid venomous snakes during fieldwork.



3.3.7 **Describe** how being bitten or stung by ants, wasps or spiders is best avoided during fieldwork.



3.3.8 **Describe** an action you have taken to ensure your sun safety during fieldwork.



Four horizontal lines for writing an answer to question 3.3.8.

3.3.9 **Describe** how disturbance of the riparian (G) area habitats can be reduced during fieldwork?



Four horizontal lines for writing an answer to question 3.3.9.

Location

3.3.10 **Create** a location map using Google My Maps (see Section 2.2).

3.3.11 On the map **label** north, the map title, an estimate of the scale, site locations, and a key.



Title	
Scale	
Site 1 name	
Site 2 name	
Key	
Google My Maps URL	

Descriptions

3.3.12 **Record** the qualitative details for site 1 in Table 17.

Table 17 Details for survey site 1 (student response)



Species	
Site name	
GPS coordinates	
Property owners	

3.3.13 **Draw** a sketch of site 1, include a north arrow and label features of the site.



3.3.14 **Record** the qualitative details for site 2 in Table 18.

Table 18 Details for survey site 2 (student response)



Species	
Site name	
GPS coordinates	
Property owners	

3.3.15 **Draw** a sketch of Site 2, include a north arrow and label features of the site.



Photographs

3.3.16 **Take** photos into or along the habitat. **Record** details of your photos in Table 19.

Table 19 Sample table for recording photo details (student response)



Image name <i>e.g. xxx.jpg</i>	Date taken	Description <i>Features highlighted in the image</i>	Image thumbnail

Hydrology and soils

3.3.17 **Identify** factors influencing the hydrology of the wetland at your chosen sites by ticking boxes and enter (fill in) water source types in Table 20.


Table 20 Hydrology (student response)



Type of wetland	Site 1	Site 2	Water sources into wetland	Site 1	Site 2
Dam	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lake	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Floodplain	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Billabong	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Channel	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Current local climate		
Marsh/swamp/bog	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dry period	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Average period	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water level			Wet period	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
High	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Very wet period	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medium	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Modifications		
Low	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bridges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mostly small pebbles	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dams	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dry	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Roads	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.3.18 Tick boxes in Table 21 to **record** soil disturbance.

Table 21 Soil and river disturbance (student response)




Soil disturbance through bank erosion	Site 1	Site 2	Soil disturbance by animals	Site 1	Site 2
Stable (no visible erosion)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pugging (G)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good (very little visible erosion)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Estimated mean pugs per m ²	_____	_____
Moderate (a moderate area of bank is eroding)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Signs of native animals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unstable (most of the bank is eroding)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Signs of feral animals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Abiotic and biotic factors impacting freshwater turtles

3.3.19 **Identify** factors impacting turtles at your chosen sites using Table 22.

Table 22 Abiotic and biotic factors (student response)



Abiotic factors	Site 1	Site 2	Biotic factors	Site 1	Site 2
Water clarity and turbidity (G)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Macrophytes (G)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Water temperature	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Food sources of small aquatic invertebrates such as shrimps and insects and plants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Deep pools	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Structural complexity provided by submerged logs, roots and plants	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Still or flowing water	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Evidence of introduced predators, e.g. foxes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shallow water	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Ephemeral water flow	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			

Land-use activities

3.3.20 **Identify** land-use activities within or near sites 1 and 2 by ticking boxes in Table 23.

Table 23 Land-use activities (student response)



Land use	Site 1	Site 2	Urban	Site 1	Site 2
Piggery	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Houses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dairy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Poultry	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Industrial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grazing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Roads	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Animal kennels	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Railway	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Aquaculture	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bridge	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Market gardens	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fences	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Orchard	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Garden and lawn clippings dumping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Plant nursery	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Rubbish dumping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Crop production	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Building construction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Utilities		
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Airport	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recreational			Hospital	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Golf course	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	School	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Playing fields	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Landfill site	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Picnic grounds	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Electricity line corridor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Caravan park	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sewer pipeline corridor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
National park	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Water supply	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Horse riding	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other		
Wood for BBQs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Evidence of past fire	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Carpark	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Natural bushland	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Walking trails	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Logging	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Boardwalk	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Boating	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Firebreak clearing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Swimming	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bush regeneration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fishing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Weed clearing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Module 4 Processing and analysing data and information

BIO11/12-4 selects and processes appropriate qualitative and quantitative data and information using a range of appropriate media.

Students use the most appropriate and meaningful methods and media to organise and analyse data and information sources, including digital technologies and the use of a variety of visual representations as appropriate.

They process data from primary and secondary sources, including both qualitative and quantitative data and information (NESA 2017, p.23).

BIO11/12-5 analyses and evaluates primary and secondary data and information.

Students identify trends, patterns and relationships; recognise error, uncertainty and limitations in data; and interpret scientific and media texts. They evaluate the relevance, accuracy, validity and reliability of the primary or secondary-sourced data in relation to investigations. They evaluate processes, claims and conclusions by considering the quality of available evidence, and use reasoning to construct scientific arguments.

Where appropriate, mathematical models are to be applied, to demonstrate the trends and relationships that occur in data (NESA 2017, p.23).

4.1 Interpreting qualitative data

Activity 14 Describe habitat quality at survey sites

Table 24 River reach descriptions from the 2019 autumn survey of Manning River helmeted turtles (Redleaf Environmental 2019, pp.6-8)



Sites	Qualitative description
Cooplacurripa River	Thin strip of riparian (G) vegetation occurs here. Heavily impacted river reach from unfettered stock access. Long, wide and shallow stretches with extensive algal and macrophyte (G) growth present choking the waterway. Several deeper sections with clear water. Water clarity was good.
Mummel River	Water clarity was good with some minor turbidity (G) from recent rainfall further upstream. Intact riparian zone vegetation. There were several deeper sections to 2-3 m. Spotted 3 pairs of turtles mating over 2 days, 28 and 29 March 2019. Each pair were underwater at a depth of about 2 m.
Rowleys River	Near pristine river reach. Excellent water clarity. Very large waterholes to >6 m depth present. However, most deeper waterholes to 3.5 m. Shaded stream from adjacent riparian vegetation.
Bobin Creek	Small stream, near 100% shaded by rainforest riparian vegetation. There were 3 large pools to 3 m depth. They were isolated from each other by most of the stream being 0.2-0.4 m depth. Water clarity was excellent. Very cold water estimated at 15°C.
Dingo Creek	Thin riparian strip along each side of the banks. Adjacent land use is improved pasture and cattle grazing. Water clarity was limited because of elevated turbidity. The water temperature was cold (estimated ~15°C). Very shallow stream (0.4 m) with a few deeper areas to 1.5 m.

4.1.1 Using the river reach descriptions in Table 24 **analyse** the researcher’s qualitative data to identify one or 2 limiting factors that may influence the presence or absence of Manning River helmeted turtles, and complete Table 25.

Table 25 Limiting factors on Manning River helmeted turtle presence (student response)



Sites	Possible limiting factors on the presence of Manning River helmeted turtles
Cooplacurripa River	
Mummel River	
Rowleys River	
Bobin Creek	
Dingo Creek	

4.2 Interpreting quantitative data

Activity 15 Interpret and assess quantitative data

4.2.1 Look at Figure 16. **Describe** patterns of relative abundance (G) and distribution of the 3 turtles surveyed across the sites.



Four horizontal lines for writing a response to question 4.2.1.

4.2.2 **Identify** possible limitations of the data shown in Figure 16.



Two horizontal lines for writing a response to question 4.2.2.




Figure 16. Tributaries surveyed, and turtle captures (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.10)

Table 26 Age classes and sex of Manning River helmeted turtles (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.10)

Species	Mature female	Mature male	Immature female	Immature male	Unsexed	% immature	F/M ratio
<i>M. purvisi</i>	28	15	6	2	10	29.5%	2:1

4.2.3 Look at Table 26. **Describe** the age classes and sex of the Manning River helmeted turtles captured.



4.2.4 **Identify and explain** an aspect of uncertainty in the dataset presented in Table 26.






Figure 17. Carapace length and sex of Manning River helmeted turtles (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.11)

4.2.5 Look at Figure 17. **Explain** the relationship between carapace length and how helpful it is in determining the sex of a turtle.






Figure 18. Tail length, carapace length and sex of Manning River helmeted turtles (Redleaf Environmental 2019, p.11)

4.2.6 Look at Figure 18. **Describe** the trend in data relating to size and sex of male and female turtles.



4.3 Experimental validity

Activity 16 Evaluate experimental validity

Experimental validity is determined by how well the investigation design addresses the aims.

- 4.3.1 Revisit the aims of the NSW Government researcher in Section 1.4. Evaluate the validity of the methods and data presented.



A large, light green rectangular area containing horizontal lines for writing, intended for student responses to the activity.

4.4 Reproduction and population demographics

Activity 17 Construct a conclusion

Review the 'Discussion' section, **specifically size distribution of turtle species** from the Manning River helmeted turtle 2019 autumn survey report, Redleaf Environmental, pp.12-14 and respond to the following.

- 4.4.1 Recruitment is when a juvenile of a species is added to a population. **Construct** a scientific conclusion including data as evidence about recruitment of Manning River helmeted turtles into the population over the past 2 years.

Hint: Refer back to Table 14 in Module 3.



- 4.4.2 **Describe** the sex ratio of females to males.



- 4.4.3 **Evaluate** the reliability of your conclusion and description of the sex ratio.



4.5 Threatening processes

Activity 18 Research threats and threatening processes

Continue reviewing the 'Discussion' section from the Manning River helmeted turtle 2019 autumn survey report (Redleaf Environmental 2019, pp.12-14) and respond to the following.

Nest predation

Feral animals such as pigs and foxes can devastate turtle populations. Research on nest predation of another species of turtle found foxes can cause losses of >90% of all nests for a season. Native predators of Manning River helmeted turtles include dingos and goannas, both of which were identified by the researcher as being present at some sampling sites.

- 4.5.1 **Describe** indicators of nest predation that may be apparent in population demographics (G). Hint: Use Figure 17.



Habitat modification

The researcher reported most sites in moderate to good condition within national parks and undisturbed sites. However, some sites adjacent to human activities showed reduced water quality through eutrophication (G) and turbidity (G), while disturbance to riparian (G) vegetation had led to weed infestation and excessive shading of waterways and embankments.

- 4.5.2 **List** the causes and effects of cattle grazing and pasture improvement on the habitat of the Manning River helmeted turtle.



Competition and hybridisation with the Murray River turtle (*Emydura macquarii*)

Only one Murray River turtle was captured during the Manning River helmeted turtle 2019 autumn survey (Redleaf Environmental 2019) (Table 13); however, the researchers from Chessman Ecology that compiled the Survey and monitoring report on turtle trapping in 2018–19, captured 68 Murray River turtles (Chessman 2019).

4.5.3 **Explain** how competition and hybridisation (G) threatens Manning River helmeted turtle populations.





Photo: Mummel River Cooplacurripa (Darren Fielder)

Module 5 Problem solving

BIO11/12-6 solves scientific problems using primary and secondary data, critical thinking skills and scientific processes.

Students use critical thinking skills and creativity to demonstrate an understanding of scientific principles underlying the solutions to inquiry questions and problems posed in investigations.

Appropriate and varied strategies are employed, including the use of models, to qualitatively and quantitatively explain and predict cause-and-effect relationships.

In Working Scientifically, students synthesise and use evidence to construct and justify conclusions.

To solve problems, students: interpret scientific and media texts; evaluate processes, claims and conclusions; and consider the quality of available evidence (NESA 2017, p.23).

5.1 Conceptual models

Conceptual models inform questions and predictions about species' populations.

The model in Figure 19 is a conceptual model designed to show causes and effects of population dynamics of the Manning River helmeted turtle.

The model helps identify existing knowledge and aspects of the species' ecology in need of further research as well as opportunities for conservation actions.

Activity 19 Using a conceptual model

5.1.1 Use the model in Figure 19 to:

- **identify** the stressors affecting Manning River helmeted turtles
- **suggest** conservation actions
- tick boxes in Table 27 to **identify** who might be involved in delivering the actions.




Figure 19. Conceptual population model for the Manning River helmeted turtle and its habitat

Table 27 Stressors and conservation actions (student response)



Stressors on Manning River helmeted turtle	Conservation actions	State govt	Local govt	Land owners	Community environment group	Schools, universities, citizen scientists	Other
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5.1.2 **View** the Aussie Ark videos below (Figure 20 and Figure 21) by Tim Faulkner seeking crowdfunding to establish a breeding program for Manning River helmeted turtles (links below). **Include** a description of Aussie Ark’s Tim Faulkner’s Manning River helmeted turtle project as an action in Table 27.



Figure 20. Screenshot, [Meet Manny our first Manning River turtle](#) YouTube video




Figure 21. Screenshot, [Help us save the Manning River turtle](#) YouTube video

5.1.3 **Research** and describe the concept of flagship species.



5.1.4 **Create** an argument using scientific evidence either for or against giving the Manning River helmeted turtle flagship species status.



5.1.5 **Evaluate** the quality of the evidence you have used in making your argument and conclusions.



A large light green rectangular area containing ten horizontal lines for writing.



Photo: Manning River helmeted turtle (Darren Fielder)

Module 6 Communicating

BIO11/12-7 communicates scientific understanding using suitable language and terminology for a specific audience or purpose.

Communicating all components of the Working Scientifically processes with clarity and accuracy is essential.

Students use qualitative and quantitative information gained from investigations using primary and secondary sources, including digital, visual, written and/or verbal forms of communication as appropriate. They apply appropriate scientific notations and nomenclature. They also appropriately apply and use scientific language that is suitable for specific audiences and contexts (NESA 2017, p.23).

Activity 20 Prepare a report

Prepare a report to inform a specific audience about your research. The report can be in the form of a brochure, PowerPoint, video, newspaper article or another format that suits the audience and purpose. The report needs to ensure a scientific reporting format is followed. The following guide sets out scientific reporting requirements.

6.1 Title

Give your investigation a title that describes what it is about.

6.2 Abstract

An abstract is a complete summary of your project and has the following characteristics:

- uses the third person; that is, from the point of view of an outsider
- is written for the target audience
- uses present tense for the existing body of facts
- defines specialised terms and abbreviations
- 100–250 words long
- is written last by summarising the report according to the scientific method format; that is, it summarises the introduction, aim, method, results and discussion in one paragraph.

6.3 Introduction

The 'Introduction' should outline why you are interested in this area of wildlife conservation, what is already known about freshwater turtles in the NSW environment, what you will be investigating, and your research question (hypothesis). The introduction should include:

- your inquiry question
- what you already know about the Manning River helmeted turtle from secondary sources, including its conservation status, a physical description, its known distribution, diet, breeding cycle, seasonal behaviour, its habitat requirements and known threats
- important variables to consider
- what new information you will learn from your experiment
- the aim of yours and/or the researchers' investigation
- the possible hypothesis of the investigation.

6.4 Method – planning and conducting investigations

The 'Method' contains the steps followed in your investigation in enough detail so that the procedure could be replicated by somebody else. It also states the variables controlled.

6.5 Results – processing data and information

The 'Results' should include a written explanation of the major findings in paragraph form, and the data is presented as graphs, charts, tables and pictures using the most appropriate and meaningful methods to organise and analyse data. The data presentation should include digital technologies and the use of a variety of visual representations.

Each table and graph should have a caption with enough detail so that it can be understood even if it is separated from the text. Also, describe any major findings or unexpected errors.



Photo: Manning River helmeted turtle (Phil Spark)

6.6 Results – analysing data and information

The 'Results' should also include a written explanation of the major findings in paragraph form identifying trends, patterns and relationships. Discussion is also required about sources of error, uncertainty and limitations of data.

Include critical evaluation of the relevance, reliability, accuracy and validity of both primary and secondary sources. Construct informed conclusions from the data and where possible cite mathematical models to support patterns and trends about cause and effect relationships.

6.7 Discussion – problem solving and limitations

The 'Discussion' is the place to apply critical thinking skills and creativity to evaluate what has been learnt, generate questions for further inquiry, and/or propose solution/s to ensuring the future of Manning River helmeted turtles in the wild. It should cover the following:

- Explain major findings.
- Write 1-2 sentences to summarise your results.
- Are there any anomalies in the results (i.e. things that don't seem to fit)? Can you explain these?
- Can you explain the trends or patterns in your results? Try to use some scientific ideas to help you explain what happened.
- Did your results support your hypothesis?
- How do your results relate to what was known in this area?
- Do your results agree with what you learnt from your references? How are they the same? How are they different?
- How do your results contribute new knowledge to the body of knowledge in this area? They could support previous knowledge, extend previous knowledge or even challenge previous knowledge.
- Could the research procedures and data be used to establish baseline procedures and data to support replication of the process in the future?
- Outline specific issues placing the Manning River helmeted turtle at threat of extinction.
- Describe conservation actions to protect populations of Manning River helmeted turtles in the wild.
- What do your results mean for other people?
- What interesting questions did your project lead to? What more could you do in this area?
- What would you do to improve the project if you were to do it again?
- Were you satisfied with the method? Most scientists can think of a couple of changes they would make next time.
- How could you improve the fairness, accuracy, sample size, etc.?

6.8 Conclusion

The concluding paragraph should let the reader know what the investigation was about and what results were obtained. The student should then be able to state why the results are significant in a wider world context. Include the following:

- Restate the purpose or the original question.
- Restate the hypothesis.
- Did your results support the hypothesis?
- What is the importance of this experiment?
- Prepare an overview of issues facing the community, your suggested strategies and prioritised actions to work toward conserving and/or improving ecological stability.

6.9 References

This is a list of all text and source material used and should be presented alphabetically. Referencing is important as you need to acknowledge where you obtained your information. There are accepted ways to present references so your readers can identify and follow up the sources you have used if they wish. The online ***Australian Government Style Manual*** provides useful guidance on Referencing and attribution.



Photo: Manning River helmeted turtles Rowleys River Long Creek (Darren Fielder)

Glossary

Abiotic – relating or resulting from nonliving parts of an ecosystem

Benthic – relating to the bottom of a sea or lake or to the organisms that live there

Biotic – relating to life or resulting from living organisms

Diurnal – of or during the day

Ecological community – naturally occurring group of plants, animals and other organisms that are interacting in a unique space or area

Elevation – height above sea level

Eutrophication – excessive richness of nutrients in a lake or other body of water, frequently due to runoff from the land, which causes a dense growth of plant life

Hybridisation – the process of an animal or plant breeding with an individual of another species or variety

Inundation – an overwhelming abundance of something; inundation of water is flooding

Lotic – running water habitats such as river or streams, characterised by higher oxygen levels due to continually running water

Macrophyte – an aquatic plant large enough to be seen by the naked eye

Niche – the role a species plays in an ecological community or the range of resources and conditions the species needs to maintain a viable population there

Population demographics – statistical data relating to a population and particular groups within it

Pugging (in agriculture) – when the top 4–8 cm of the soil acts as a seal and prevents further rain from dispersing through the soil; generally a consequence of hooves on wet soil

Relative abundance – used when talking about biodiversity and refers to how common or rare a species is relative to other species in a defined space or community

Riparian – relating to or living or located on the bank of a natural watercourse (such as a river) or sometimes of a lake or estuary

Symbiotic – involving interaction between 2 different organisms living in close physical association; a mutually beneficial relationship

Turbidity – the quality of being cloudy, opaque, or thick with suspended matter

References

Chessman B (2019) *Conservation program for the Manning River helmeted turtle – survey and monitoring: Report on turtle trapping in 2018-19*, prepared for the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage, Chessman Ecology, Pymble NSW, <https://datasets.seed.nsw.gov.au/dataset/manning-river-turtle-survey-report-middle-reaches-may-2019/resource/9a78ab5c-7eef-451c-bd44-3d033622a6f5> [PDF 21.4MB]

Chessman BC, Fielder DP, Spark PH and Steed AC (2023) 'Distribution, habitat and population structure of the threatened Manning River helmeted turtle, *Myuchelys purvisi*', *Austral Ecology*, 29 May 2023, doi: [10.1111/aec.13366](https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.13366)

Driscoll J (16 December 2017) 'Manning River Turtle researchers seeking locations', **Gloucester Advocate**, <https://www.gloucesteradvocate.com.au/story/5120707/have-you-seen-this-turtle-we-want-to-know-where/> (article updated 30 January 2019, reproduced in Appendix A).

Hunter Local Land Services (2021) **Threatened Fauna of the Hunter and Mid Coast – Manning River helmeted turtle**, Hunter Local Land Services, NSW Government, published March 2021, https://www.lls.nsw.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0006/1343814/Manning-River-Turtle-Information-Sheet_2021.pdf [PDF 1.0MB]

Lowrey T (2015) 'Bellinger River snapping turtles face extinction from mystery virus on NSW mid north coast', *ABC News*, posted Wed. 18 March 2015 at 6:51pm, <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-03-18/rare-snapping-turtles-face-extinction-from-virus/6330262>

NESA (NSW Education Standards Authority) (2017) **Biology: Stage 6 Syllabus**, NSW Education Standards Authority, Sydney NSW, <https://educationstandards.nsw.edu.au/wps/wcm/connect/657d2611-c201-49ce-a18e-ef0f786a5de0/biology-stage-6-syllabus-2017.pdf?MOD=AJPERESandCVID=> [PDF 1.5MB]

Redleaf Environmental (2019) **Manning River Helmeted Turtle: 2019 Autumn Survey Report**, prepared for the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage, Redleaf Environmental, Toowoomba, <https://datasets.seed.nsw.gov.au/dataset/manning-river-turtle-survey-report-northern-catchments-may-2019>

Spark P (2019) **Survey for the Manning River Helmeted Turtle Conservation Program March 2019**, prepared for the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage, North West Ecological Services, Tamworth, <https://datasets.seed.nsw.gov.au/dataset/66503b40-d1e9-4013-88d7-1f8cf8bbab99/resource/39159712-7d4f-4254-ac39-f4ff92e08b27/download/manning-river-turtle-survey-report-western-catchments-may-2019.pdf> [PDF 9.4MB]

Online resources

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

www.environment.nsw.gov.au/

The Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (the department) is part of the NSW Government. The department is responsible for protecting the environment in New South Wales. This responsibility includes the regulation of threatened species, ecosystems and populations under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*, and the implementation of NSW Government policy and programs including the Saving our Species program.

BioNet

www.environment.nsw.gov.au/atlaspublicapp/UI_Modules/ATLAS_/AtlasSearch.aspx

NSW BioNet is the entry point to a range of biodiversity data products managed by the NSW Government including site records for threatened species. It is the trusted source of biodiversity data for the state of New South Wales.

You can search BioNet via species type, threatened status, or create a list for a geographic area or for a specific timeframe.

NSW Government threatened species profile database

www.environment.nsw.gov.au/threatenedspeciesapp/

The database holds information on every listed threatened species, ecosystem or population in New South Wales.

You can search the database via species name (scientific and common), keywords, region, habitat, species type and threatened status.

Each profile contains a description and information on the threatened status, broad distribution, habitat and ecology of the species. There is also detailed distribution, habitat and vegetation information. There are sections on threats, broad actions to assist the species, a reference section and links to related information. There are also links to the Saving our Species database and targeted strategies developed to assist the species. Where available the page will have images of the species and many birds have call recordings available.

Atlas of Living Australia

www.ala.org.au/

The Atlas of Living Australia (ALA) is Australia's national biodiversity database. It provides free, online access to millions of occurrence records for species. The ALA species pages display text descriptions, images, location information, taxonomic details and links to academic literature for every species in the database. The ALA also enables you to explore the biodiversity in your own area or region.

TurtleSAT

www.turtlesat.org.au/turtlesat/default.aspx

TurtleSAT is an online resource where citizen scientists can map the location of freshwater turtles in waterways and wetlands across Australia. Sightings will help to track the movement and behaviour of turtles, to protect them and their offspring in your local area.

Freshwater turtles

www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/native-animals/native-animal-facts/freshwater-turtles

This is a NSW Government webpage with information on the ecology, species and threats to freshwater turtles in New South Wales.

Saving our Species database

www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/saving-our-species-program/saving-our-species-database

The Saving our Species database contains information on conservation status, management objectives, species sightings and management sites across New South Wales, critical actions for the species, and how the species it to be managed under the Saving our Species program.

SEED: Sharing and enabling environmental data portal

www.seed.nsw.gov.au/

SEED is a free NSW Government online resource that allows anyone to access environmental data for research or academic purposes. SEED includes over 2,400 environmental datasets currently held by NSW Government entities related to water, land, air, vegetation and threatened species. SEED provides a medium to visualise data without requiring scientific expertise or specialist mapping software. You can search and view environmental information and data. Where the data is in spatial form, you can overlay different types of data and then export and print maps.

This is the portal that facilitates access to Manning River helmeted turtle survey documents.

International Union for the Conservation of Nature

www.iucnredlist.org/

<https://iucnrle.org/>

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species and the IUCN Red List of Ecosystems are inventories of the global conservation status of plant and animal species and ecosystems. They use quantitative criteria and rule sets to evaluate the extinction risk of thousands of species and scientific assessments of the risk of ecosystem collapse, as measured by reductions in geographical distribution or degradation of the key processes and components of ecosystems.

Other links in this document

Table 28 Hyperlink addresses

Text	URL
<i>Australian Government Style Manual</i> – ‘Referencing and attribution’	https://www.stylemanual.gov.au/referencing-and-attribution
‘Bellingen River snapping turtles face extinction from mystery virus on NSW mid north coast’, Lowrey (2015)	https://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-03-18/rare-snapping-turtles-face-extinction-from-virus/6330262
<i>Conservation program for the Manning River helmeted turtle – survey and monitoring: Report on turtle trapping in 2018–19</i> , Chessman (2019)	https://datasets.seed.nsw.gov.au/dataset/manning-river-turtle-survey-report-middle-reaches-may-2019
‘Data-deficient species’	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/saving-our-species-program/threatened-species-conservation/data-deficient-species
‘Environmental and zoo education centres’	https://education.nsw.gov.au/teaching-and-learning/curriculum/sustainability/environmental-zoo-centres
‘Final Determination’ – Manning River helmeted turtle, NSW Scientific Committee (2017)	https://drive.google.com/file/d/15VAGqevd7TGv3YJ-3cQtasfdlyQMUrF/view
Google My Maps	https://www.google.com/maps/d/
<i>Help us save the Manning River turtle</i> YouTube video, Part 2, Tim Faulkner from Aussie Ark (2018)	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZX_fYmJrJ44
iNaturalist	https://www.inaturalist.org
‘Key threatening processes’	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/about-threatened-species/key-threatening-processes
<i>Manning River Helmeted Turtle: 2019 Autumn Survey Report</i> , Redleaf Environmental (2019)	https://datasets.seed.nsw.gov.au/dataset/manning-river-turtle-survey-report-northern-catchments-may-2019
‘Manning River helmeted turtle (<i>Myuchelys purvisi</i>) – endangered species listing’	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/nsw-threatened-species-scientific-committee/determinations/final-determinations/2017-2018/manning-river-helmeted-turtle-myuchelys-purvisi-endangered-species-listing
<i>Manning River Turtle 2017</i> YouTube video, WILD Conservation (2017)	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hYUld_9APHU
‘Manning River Turtle researchers seeking locations’ – <i>Gloucester Advocate</i> article, Driscoll (2017)	https://www.gloucesteradvocate.com.au/story/5120707/have-you-seen-this-turtle-we-want-to-know-where/
<i>Meet Manny our first Manning River turtle</i> YouTube video, Part 1, Tim Faulkner from Aussie Ark (2018)	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAHYZRM-ZrY

Text	URL
'NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee'	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/nsw-threatened-species-scientific-committee
<i>Saving Our Species NSW Australia</i> YouTube video, NSW Environment and Heritage Group (2018)	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gJdaenelPRs
'Saving our Species program' – overview	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/saving-our-species-program
'Scientific licences' – NSW Government requirements	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/licences-and-permits/scientific-licences
<i>Searching for the Manning River Turtle</i> YouTube video, Dr Bruce Chessman (2013)	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v4cEs1-q-Rs
'Site-managed species' – Saving our Species program	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/saving-our-species-program/threatened-species-conservation/site-managed-species
'Species listing categories' for NSW threatened species	https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/programs-legislation-and-framework/species-listing/species-listing-categories
<i>Survey for the Manning River Helmeted Turtle Conservation Program March 2019</i> , Spark (2019)	https://datasets.seed.nsw.gov.au/dataset/66503b40-d1e9-4013-88d7-1f8cf8bbab99/resource/39159712-7d4f-4254-ac39-f4ff92e08b27/download/manning-river-turtle-survey-report-western-catchments-may-2019.pdf [PDF 9.4MB]
<i>Threatened Fauna of the Hunter and Mid Coast – Manning River helmeted turtle</i> , Hunter Local Land Services (2021)	https://www.lls.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0006/1343814/Manning-River-Turtle-Information-Sheet_2021.pdf [PDF 1.0MB]
'Working Scientifically' – outcomes	https://educationstandards.nsw.edu.au/wps/portal/nesa/11-12/stage-6-learning-areas/stage-6-science/biology-2017/working-scientifically

Appendix A: *Gloucester Advocate* article

‘Manning River Turtle researchers seeking locations’

By Julia Driscoll, *Gloucester Advocate*, published 16 December 2017, updated 30 January 2019 (reproduced here with permission)



Elusive: The Manning River Helmeted Turtle is considered perhaps the most beautiful turtle in Australia and is very distinctive. Picture: Gary Stephenson

The Manning Valley’s own 55 million-year-old turtle, found only in our river and its catchments, has advocates both locally and outside the valley cheering on its survival.

The Manning River Helmeted Turtle (also known as the Manning River Snapping Turtle and Purvis’ Turtle) was officially declared an endangered species in April 2017.

Following the announcement a small group of concerned citizens formed the Manning River Turtle Conservation Group, the group responsible for the Wingham Winter Solstice Lantern Walk and the school colouring/art competition in June 2017.

Watch what we think is the first underwater footage of the Manning River Turtle (*Thank you to Kane Durrant for use of the video*):

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hYUld_9APHU

The group has since been auspiced by Manning Valley Neighbourhood Services in Wingham and plans are underway for another awareness raising lantern walk and art competition in 2018, at the request of locals who enjoyed the first one.

The MRTCG has also been in regular contact with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) and professionals interested in researching the turtle.

Little is known about the population of the turtle as not a lot of research has been done, but since being declared endangered work is in progress to learn more about them.



NSW Office of Environment and Heritage data support officer, Andrew Steed, with Kerrie Guppy and Jennifer Granger of the Manning River Turtle Conservation Group, and Mia Granger

OEH in Coffs Harbour have been studying the very similar Bellinger River Turtle and will now be seeking funding to begin research and establish regular monitoring of the Manning River Turtle.

Once funding is approved, OEH data support officer, Andrew Steed anticipates three researchers, including Dr Bruce Chessman who has previously done some research on the turtle, will get to work finding, tagging, taking swabs and studying the turtles. They are also particularly interested in how vulnerable the Manning River Turtle would be to a virus similar to one that nearly decimated the Bellinger River Turtle population.

However, to undertake this research, they need to find spots where the turtle is located and how to get access to those spots.

“I don’t know what our chances of success are. It’s always a bit of a lottery,” Andrew Steed said.

So we’re asking you, the community. Have you seen the Manning River Turtle? If so, where have you seen it?

If you have sighted a Manning River Turtle please email Andrew Steed on Andrew.Steed@environment.nsw.gov.au.

How to identify the Manning River Turtle

- Short-necked turtle.
- Brown shell above, but is usually quite bright yellow below, except in large older individuals.
- Usually a distinct yellow stripe from the angle of the jaws, especially in the young, while the underside of the tail has distinctive yellow markings: a bright yellow stripe from median plastron notch to anus.
- Another stripe on each side of the tail slopes down to also reach the anus; and there is a bright yellow patch under the tip of the tail.
- Shell above broadly oval with a smooth hind edge.
- Two 'barbel's' under the chin.
- A horny 'helmet' on its head.
- Habitat preference is for relatively shallow, clear, continuously fast-flowing rivers.

The turtles are not found in dams, and most likely will not be seen crossing roads.

If you do find what you think might be a Manning River Turtle, please DO NOT handle the turtle.

Keep up to date at the [Manning River Turtle Conservation Group's Facebook page](#).

Julia Driscoll – Journalist

Julia Driscoll has worked as a journalist for the Wingham Chronicle and Manning River Times for seven years. She values the deep connection with community that being a rural and regional journalist brings. Career highlights have involved environmental stories - bringing the plight of the little known endangered Manning River helmeted turtle to the attention of the public, resulting in wide-spread knowledge in the community and conservation action; and breaking the news of the Manning River ceasing to run for the first time in recorded history